

REMARKS

This responds to the Final Office Action mailed on November 25, 2009.

Claims 1, 10, and 17 are amended; claims 21 and 25-34 were previously canceled, without prejudice to or disclaimer by the Applicant; as a result, claims 1-20 and 22-24 are now pending in this application.

Example support for the claim amendments may be found throughout the original filed specification. By way of example only, the Examiner's attention is directed to the original filed specification page 8 lines 21-26.

Applicant does not believe that the amendments necessitate any new searching on the part of the Examiner; as a result, Applicant believes the amendments are made for purposes of gaining allowance and should be entered for the record. Applicant respectfully requests an indication of the same for the learned Examiner.

The Rejection of Claims Under § 103

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xiong (U.S. Patent No. 7,096,490) in view of Gabber (U.S. Patent No. 5,961,593), and further in view of Selvarajan (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0032649), and further in view of Rowland (U.S. patent No. 6,405,318), and further in view of Uhlik et al. (US Patent No. 7,197,560). Obviousness requires that each and every element be taught or suggested in the proposed combination of references.

The Examiner relies on Uhlik for deterministically producing the temporary identity information. Uhlik discusses two approaches one that is random and one that is based on a function as pointed out by the Examiner. Neither approach used in Uhlik retains a subset of the original identity information with the temporary identify information where the retained subset is just those portions that are needed by the service for which the temporary identity information is being supplied to process requests. Moreover, these elements are also not taught or suggested in the other references that comprise the proposed combination. These elements are now recited in the independent claims as such Applicant respectfully requests that the rejections of record be withdrawn and the claims allowed.

Claims 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xiong, in view of Gabber, further in view of Selvarajan, further in view of Rowland, and further in view of Gupta (U.S. Patent No. 6,868,448) and Uhlik et al. In view of the amendments and remarks presented above with respect to the independent claims, this rejection should be withdrawn. Applicant respectfully requests an indication of the same from the learned Examiner.

Claims 10-20 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xiong, in view of Gabber, further in view of Selvarajan, further in view of Rowland, further in view of Gupta and Uhlik et al., and further in view of Examiner Official Notice. Obviousness requires that each and every element be taught or suggested in the proposed combination of references.

Again, the learned Examiner relies on Uhlik for teaching deterministic regeneration of the temporary identity information. However, neither Uhlik nor the remaining references of the proposed combination teach an approach to temporary identity information generation where a subset of the original identity information is retained. Moreover, it is just a subset of information that is needed by the services to process the request from a requestor.

As such, Applicant does not believe the proposed combination teaches or suggests each and every element of the rejected claims and as such Applicant respectfully requests that the rejections of record be withdrawn and the claims allowed.

Reservation of Rights

In the interest of clarity and brevity, Applicant may not have equally addressed every assertion made in the Office Action; however, this does not constitute any admission or acquiescence. Applicant reserves all rights not exercised in connection with this response, such as the right to challenge or rebut any tacit or explicit characterization of any reference or of any of the present claims, the right to challenge or rebut any asserted factual or legal basis of any of the rejections, the right to swear behind any cited reference such as provided under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 or otherwise, or the right to assert co-ownership of any cited reference. Applicant does not admit that any of the cited references or any other references of record is relevant to the present claims, or that they constitute prior art. To the extent that any rejection or assertion is based upon the Examiner's personal knowledge, rather than any objective evidence of record as manifested by a cited prior art reference, Applicant timely objects to such reliance on Official Notice, and reserves all rights to request that the Examiner provide a reference or affidavit in support of such assertion, as required by MPEP § 2144.03. Applicant reserves all rights to pursue any cancelled claims in a subsequent patent application claiming the benefit of priority of the present patent application, and to request rejoinder of any withdrawn claim, as required by MPEP § 821.04.

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are in condition for allowance, and notification to that effect is earnestly requested. The Examiner is invited to telephone Applicant's representative at (513) 942-0224 to facilitate prosecution of this application.


If necessary, please charge any additional fees or deficiencies, or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 19-0743.

Respectfully submitted,

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER, P.A.
P.O. Box 2938
Minneapolis, MN 55402--0938
(513) 942-0224

Date 01-25-2010

By


Joseph P. Mehrle
Reg. No. 45,535